

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| 10/772,156 | 02/03/2004 | Jeffrey T. Abel | 12058-006001 | 12058-006001 1886 | |
| 20985 | 7590 08/25/2004 | | EXAMINER | | |
| FISH & RICHARDSON, PC | | | RICCI, JOHN A | | |
| 12390 EL CAMINO REAL SAN DIEGO, CA 92130-2081 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| SIN(DID GO | , ••• | | 3712 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/25/200- | DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| · · · · · | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/772,156 | ABEL, JEFFREY T. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | John Ricci | 3712 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period work. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONED | ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | _• | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This | This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E. | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 3 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-26 are subject to restriction and/or e | lection requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner | • | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the d | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction | on is required if the drawing(s) is obje | ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: | oriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)- | (d) or (f). | | | | |
| 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | | n No. | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | | 3 | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| ••• | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | " □ | DTO 440) | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) 🔲 Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10-772156

Art Unit: 3712

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- A. Ball having a through bore, and elastic cord through the bore (figure 1);
- B. Ball having an inflation hole, and connector fitted into the hole (figure 4).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election,

Application/Control Number: 10-772156 Page 3

Art Unit: 3712

a , . •

applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

* * * * * *

This letter was prepared by Examiner John Ricci, who can be reached at:

Voice: 703-308-4751

Fax: Use 703-872-9306 for papers to be delivered directly to the mail room, like formal amendments and responses, change of address, power of attorney, petitions.

Art Unit: 3712

Use 703-783-0439 for papers to be delivered directly to the Examiner, like informal or proposed responses for discussion, or notes in preparation for an interview.

Response by Fax is encouraged to reduce mail processing time. Please don't send duplicate papers by mail and Fax.

My supervisor is Derris Banks, 703-308-1745.

PTO main switchboard: 800-786-9199.

Visit our Web site at www.uspto.gov.

Jeh Puri

JOHN RICCI PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3712